

Mutual Peer Review System - MPRS

Krzysztof Landa, M.D.

Jacek Siwiec, M.Sc.


Benefits to CEESTAHC and wider

- A step towards improvement of quality in economic evaluations
- Therefore wider use / implementation of HTA reports – trust of decision-makers for the reviewed analyses increase their willingness to use of HTAs
- Enhancements to institutional membership in CEESTAHC – wider offer of the Society in respect to membership benefits
- Enhancement of standardisation and harmonization in HTA – substantial step forward and acceleration

Randomisation of the reviewers

An institutional member may designate one or more experts who become the reviewers if accepted by the Board – THE LIST OF MPRS REVIEWERS

3 opinions given entitle to 1 analysis being reviewed for free in MPRS



Institution red is entitled to obtain the review of a single economic evaluation (**its own or any other?**) free of charge

+ due to the picture 6 evaluations are being reviewed

Other key issues

- **Who can apply for the peer review?**
(only institutional members of CEESTAHC)
- **How long does the review take?**
(up to 6 weeks)
- **Who can become a reviewer?**
- **Who selects the reviewers and how?**
(MPRS Committee of CEESTAHC; randomization)
- **Who manages reviews?**
(the CEESTAHC Secretariat or the MPRS Committee)
- **Is there any appellation system?**
(the Appellation Review Committee – appointed by the Board; consensual opinion)

Other key issues

- **What is the result of review?**
(3 single opinions)
- **How the single opinions can be used?**
(they can be published or used by the applicant in any legal way; each reviewer agrees to be signed under her/his opinion)
- **Are the single opinions structured?**
(no at the launch and for some time; when the experience is collected then probably yes)
- **Will the work of reviewers be assessed?**
(Yes, at least ones a year by the MPRS Committee)